



Saturday 3 July 2021

My references 20023133/20023080

Letter of objection to SPR's Planning Application
EA TWO ENO10078 and EA ONE NORTH EN010077
Deadline 13 Submission

Dear Mr Rynd Smith, Lead Member,

SPLIT DECISION

I am writing to you for the final time to once again express my strongest objections to this application by SPR for **onshore infrastructure** at Friston. I am however in favour of the offshore windfarms.

I therefore urge you to recommend a SPLIT DECISION turning down the application for onshore infrastructure which would remove the threat of cumulative destruction of precious unspoilt countryside at Friston for not just two massive substations over an area of 35 acres but, if permitted, would set a precedent for a further five giant power buildings waiting in the wings.

This sensible rejection would allow for full consideration of better locations at a brownfield site at Lowestoft. Or Bradwell and Bramford, these benefit by being closer to London. This rejection would also reflect the emerging government policy of greater offshore co-ordination to protect our environment backed in parliament at the highest level. The BEIS Review must include Friston or undermine it's integrity.

Onshore infrastructure must be rejected in favour of a RING MAIN connection which can integrate multiple projects without devastating our local communities and our precious and irreplaceable coastline and countryside.

Triton Knoll has already set a precedent for a split decision.

Below are some of the compelling reasons (already listed in my letter of 24-2-2021) why you should refuse SPR's application for onshore infrastructure.

- The fragile and eroding Thorpeness Cliffs are totally unsuitable for landing cables. Houses and land along the Suffolk coast is being lost at a faster rate than ever before.

- The destruction of irreplaceable ancient woodland and the ANOB designated coast and heathland by 11Km of cable trenches.

- Friston and Thorpeness are served by totally unsuitable narrow roads and lanes especially Grove Road. These would be unable to carry the huge increase of daily HGV vehicles without bringing the entire locality to a standstill. In particular the A12 through Stratford St Andrew and the junction with the A1094.

- Emergency services would be affected and more seriously in the event of a Nuclear Incident evacuation routes would be severely obstructed.

- Local agriculture and tourism (valued at £2 billion per annum) would be adversely affected causing economic hardship.

- Noise and light pollution destroying Suffolk's loved dark skies, peace and tranquillity affecting rare birds in particular.

A SPLIT DECISION would avoid a lengthy and costly Judicial Review process for EA One and EA Two as has been experienced in Norfolk.

In conclusion the overwhelming evidence of SPR's onshore planning application would result in such destruction here, your decision must be to reject their application.

Therefore please turn down this application and save East Suffolk for our future generations to enjoy. **A National Offshore UK Ring Main is the solution.**

Yours sincerely


Mrs Sarah Courage